

SENTENCE REVIEW DIVISION OF THE SUPREME COURT OF MONTANA
Montana Sixth Judicial District Court, County of Park

STATE OF MONTANA,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	CAUSE NO. DC-04-084
-vs-)	
)	DECISION
CHRISTOPHER RAY PARMAR,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

On November 23, 2016, the Defendant's suspended sentence was revoked due to violations of his probation conditions and he was sentenced to eighteen (18) years to the Montana Department of Corrections with eleven (11) years suspended for the offense of Count II: Sexual Intercourse Without Consent, a felony. It was ordered that the Defendant is not eligible for parole until he has completed Phase 1 and Phase 2 of sexual offender treatment. The Defendant was denied credit for any prior sexual offender treatment he may have received. The Court recommended that the Defendant be placed in the Intensive Treatment Unit for his sexual offender treatment and that he be screened for chemical dependency treatment. The conditions set forth in the Judgment filed September 23, 2005 were ordered to govern Defendant's supervision. He was given credit for 58 days of incarceration.

On May 4, 2017, the Defendant's Application for review of that sentence was heard by the Sentence Review Division of the Montana Supreme Court (hereafter "the Division").

The Defendant appeared by Vision Net from the Crossroads Correctional Center and was represented by Brent Getty of the Office of the State Public Defender. The State was not represented.

Before hearing the Application, the Defendant was advised that the Division has the authority not only to reduce the sentence or affirm it, but also increase it. The Defendant was further advised that there is no appeal from a decision of the Division. The Defendant acknowledged that he understood this and stated that he wished to proceed.

Rule 12, Rules of the Sentence Review Division of the Supreme Court of Montana, provides that, "The sentence imposed by the District Court is presumed correct. The sentence shall not be reduced or increased unless it is clearly inadequate or clearly excessive." (Section 46-18-904(3), MCA).

The Division finds that the reasons advanced for modification are insufficient to hold that the sentence imposed by the District Court is clearly inadequate or clearly excessive.

Therefore, it is the unanimous decision of the Division that the sentence is **AFFIRMED**.

Done in open Court this 4th day of May, 2017.

DATED this 2 day of June, 2017.

SENTENCE REVIEW DIVISION



Hon. Brad Newman, Chairperson




Hon. Kathy Seeley, Member



Hon. John Warner, Member

Copies mailed this 2nd day
of June, 2017, to:

Clerk of District Court (Original)
Christopher Ray Parmar #2093867, Defendant (2)
Hon. Brenda Gilbert
Brent Getty, Defense Counsel
Tara M. Depuy, Esq.
Board of Pardons and Parole
MSP - Records Dept.



Georgia Lovelady, Judicial Assistant
Sentence Review Division